

The Use of Information and Communication Technologies in Perinatal Depression Screening: A Systematic Review

Verónica Martínez-Borba, MSc,^{1,2} Carlos Suso-Ribera, PhD,² and Jorge Osma, PhD^{3,*}

Abstract

Perinatal mental illness refers to psychiatric disorders that exist during pregnancy and up to 1 year after childbirth. The aim of this systematic review was to discuss the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) on perinatal depression (PeD) screening in the past three decades. Published articles were searched between 1990 and 2018, both in English and Spanish. In the search, we used different keywords, such as “pregnancy,” “depression,” or “technology” in *ScienceDirect*, *PubMed-NCBI*, and *Web of Science*. We found 10 articles that combined the use of ICTs and a focus on PeD screening. Studied periods included pregnancy ($n=2$) and postpartum ($n=8$). The telephone was the most commonly used communication method ($n=5$), followed by the Internet ($n=4$). One investigation used both, the telephone and the Internet. The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale was the most frequently used screening measure ($n=8$). The proportion of depressed perinatal women varied across studies depending on the pregnancy status and the cutoff values used, showing a very broad range between 5.8 and 51.9 percent. Despite the increasing popularity of ICTs in health settings, their use in perinatal mental health screening is still rare. Overall, encouraging findings have been reported when using ICTs for screening of PeD, such as eliminating the need to travel to the health center to conduct the screening and allowing for a wider dissemination. However, more research is needed to support their inclusion in perinatal care.

Keywords: ICTs, pregnancy, postpartum, depression, screening

Introduction

PERINATAL MENTAL ILLNESS refers to “psychiatric disorders that are prevalent during pregnancy and as long as 1 year after delivery.”¹ Although a number of mental disorders have been reported during pregnancy and postpartum, depression and anxiety are the most prevalent problems worldwide.² This review will focus on depression, which has received most attention in perinatal research.

Perinatal depression (PeD) is estimated to affect between 3 and 6 percent of women.³ During pregnancy, depression prevalence in different studies and countries has ranged from 6 to 12 percent.^{4,5} In the postpartum, depression has been estimated to affect between 7 and 25 percent of women.^{6–10}

PeD is a major public health concern because of its negative consequences for the mother, the fetus, and the baby.¹¹ For instance, depression during pregnancy has been linked to in-

creased childbirth complications, including premature birth, low birth weight, and preeclampsia. Moreover, postpartum depression (during the first year after delivery) is associated with poor performance in the babies’ behavioral, cognitive, and emotional domains.^{12–14} Not surprisingly, identification and management of PeD and other mental health disorders have become a fundamental goal of the World Health Organization.¹⁵

Fortunately, the perinatal period provides a unique opportunity for the detection of depressive symptoms because of the continuous medical monitoring of women, especially during pregnancy. Also encouragingly, previous studies and guidelines have shown that assessment of PeD can be carried out quickly with only one or two brief screening questions, which might be as valid and effective as long, structured mental health assessment methods.^{16–20}

In this scenario, an early detection of depressive symptoms in the perinatal period, which reduces the impact of

¹Hospital Provincial, Castellón, Spain.

²University Jaume I, Castellón, Spain.

³Facultad de Ciencias Sociales y Humanas, University of Zaragoza, Teruel, Spain.

*Present address: Instituto de Investigación, Sanitaria de Aragón, Zaragoza, Spain.

mental illness on the mother, the baby, and the family,²¹ should be feasible. Unfortunately, despite the benefits of early detection and intervention of pregnant women with depressive symptoms are well known and although we have instruments to measure them, PeD is often undetected and, consequently, undertreated or addressed after its onset.^{22,23}

There might be several reasons to explain why the identification of PeD is still unsuccessful, including difficulties in the health care professional and the mothers. On the one hand, some factors explaining why prompt and adequate screening by health care professionals is rare might include a reduced awareness about the problem, time constraints for exhaustive assessments during and between consultations, lack of established policies for care, or unclear referral networks.^{4,24–27}

On the other hand, barriers in perinatal women have also been reported. For instance, some maternal and pregnancy characteristics, such as being a young mother with an intermediate education level, being born abroad, and being in the first trimester of pregnancy are related to lower consultation of a mental health specialist.²² Other barriers in the mother are related to sociocultural and environmental factors. Some of these include difficulties in combining child care and face-to-face psychological interventions, not knowing where to go to receive treatment, time limitations, transportation difficulties, social stigma associated with mental illness, and fear that their baby could be removed from their care.^{28–32}

In the light of the previous findings, the goal of this study was to review how Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) have been used in PeD screening and to discuss to which extent they might help overcome some of the barriers presented previously. During the past years, the use of ICTs in the health service industry (eHealth) has increased spectacularly, arguably because of the decrease in their cost and their increased availability in the general population.³³ For instance, in 2017 Internet access and portable electronic devices connected to the Internet (e.g., smartphones, laptops, and tablets) were available to 87 and 65 percent of Europeans, respectively.^{34,35} Also importantly, 51 percent of individuals used the Internet to seek health-related information in 2017.³⁶

The use of ICTs in PeD might minimize some of the aforementioned barriers experienced by perinatal women when looking for mental health advice. For instance, ICTs allow a flexible access to screening and treatment, which can be performed anytime and anywhere, eliminating travel costs and facilitating the combination of child care and self care.^{37–39} In addition, ICTs offer anonymous help, which can minimize stigmatization,⁴⁰ improve help-seeking behaviors among perinatal women,⁴¹ and decrease participants' inclination to give a socially desirable response, thus encouraging more honest answers because of a sense of privacy and lack of perceived judgment.⁴² In fact, anonymity seems to be a key aspect in the assessment of PeD, as higher prevalence rates of PeD have been obtained when women filled out a questionnaire at home on their own compared with phone calls by nurses.⁴³

ICTs could also be useful for health professionals to communicate with patients and colleges in a more effective and immediate manner, as well as to support their decisions or to seek information.⁴⁴ Moreover, ICTs could make con-

sultations more efficient if screenings completed by the mothers at their homes remotely informed the clinicians of important undesired events, such as an increase in depressive symptoms, without the need to have on-site consultations for such assessments.⁴⁵ In fact, health care professionals believe that the use of ICTs in PeD screening has important benefits, such as eliminating language barriers reducing redundancy and human errors, and increasing the client's privacy.⁴⁶

This study will review the contributions of ICTs in the field of PeD screening in the past three decades (since 1990). In doing so, we will report the sample characteristics (e.g., pregnancy period), type of ICT employed (e.g., phone calls or the Internet), procedures and instruments used for screening, and the main findings in the perinatal literature. In addition to providing an overview of existent research using ICTs for PeD screening, the implications of the findings and future lines of research will be discussed.

Methods

Scientific articles including the use of ICTs for depression screening during the perinatal period were consulted after an extensive literature search using vertical search options.

Literature search

The systematic search for scientific articles was carried out in March 2018. The information was consulted on the following databases: *ScienceDirect*, *PubMed-NCBI*, and *Web of Science*. Key terms used are in line with previous reviews on ICTs and health^{47,48} and included: “perinatal,” “pregnant,” “pregnancy,” “prenatal,” “postnatal,” “postpartum,” “depression,” “depressive symptoms,” “technology,” “technologies,” “Internet,” “eHealth,” “mHealth,” “ICT,” “mobile,” “app,” “application,” “phone,” “telephone,” “smartphone,” “tablet,” “PDA,” and “screening.” The search was limited to articles published between 1990 and March 2018. Key terms were searched in the abstract. The specific search terms used in *ScienceDirect* are reported in Appendix 1. We used the same search strategy for all databases.

Two of the authors, namely V.M.B. and C.S.R., conducted the research independently and selected the articles (see a more detailed description in section “Literature selection”). The results obtained by each researcher were compared to ensure that the final database included all the articles. The agreement in the number of articles found between both researchers was 89 percent.

Literature selection

A prerequisite to select a study was that any form of ICT was used in the screening of PeD. The review includes articles published in English and Spanish to provide an overview of the use of ICTs for PeD screening in the past decades and to discuss its current state of the art. The eligibility of studies was assessed following a series of steps according to eligibility criteria (Table 1). First, a selection was made by looking at the article title and abstract. Then, the full text was read for the selected articles and additional references were searched within the text. A flow diagram of the selection process is given in Figure 1. The diagram will only be presented for one researcher (V.M.B.) to facilitate the

TABLE 1. INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Inclusion	Exclusion
Articles	Full text not being an article, Reviews, Protocols
English or Spanish	Full text no available in English or Spanish
Focused on human	Animal studies
Using ICT for screening	Including face to face session
Focused on screening	Result no showing proportion of depressed women
Focused on depression	Evaluation not including depression measure
Perinatal women	Studies focused on general population, no pregnant women, with a focus on fathers or babies and perinatal women after 12 months postpartum

ICT, Information and Communication Technologies.

readability of the text. As described previously, the same procedure was followed by C.S.R.

Results

Sample characteristics

After comparing the findings from both researchers, the first search yielded 188 results. Of these, 10 articles met the inclusion criteria (Table 2).

Sample characteristics are given in Table 2. The majority of studies ($n=8$) have been conducted in the United States.^{28,39,41,43,49-52} The remaining two investigations were from England⁵³ and Canada.⁵⁴ Most studies have included women older than 18 years of age, but four articles also recruited adolescent mothers.^{43,51,52,54}

Regarding the period of interest, most investigations focused on postpartum ($n=8$, 80 percent).^{28,41,43,49-52,54} Only two articles (20 percent) screened for depression during the prenatal period.^{39,53} Also interestingly, we observed that the moment in which screening was conducted varied widely




FIG. 1. Flow diagram of study selection. ICT, Information and Communication Technology.

TABLE 2. MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDIES INCLUDED ($N=10$)

Author (year) ^a	Pregnancy status	Sample, nationality		Screening tool, cutoff score	Method	Outcomes
		Mean age (SD, range)				
BenDavid (2016)	Postpartum, 2–3 weeks after delivery	N=27, 26 (6.23; 18–42) United States, Hispanic, Guatemalan		EPDS >8	Phone: Calls	51.9 percent (n=14) of participants screened positive (EPDS mean = 11.6; SD = 1.86)
Drake (2014)	Postpartum, 2–3 months after delivery	N= 18, age range 18–29 years. United States, Caucasian		EPDS ≥ 12/13	Internet: Web page	EPDS ranged from 0 to 13 (mean = 8.0; SD = 4.76). 5.5 percent (n = 1) of participants had EPDS score >13
Horowitz (2011)	Postpartum, 4 weeks after delivery	N = 5169, 32.2 (5.4; 14–49) United States, white and Hispanic (78 percent)		EPDS ≥ 10	N = 3806, Phone: Calls, N = 1363, Internet: Mail	13 percent (n = 674) had EPDS scores ≥ 10
Kim (2007)	Prenatal, any gestational age	N = 54, 25.5 (6.1). United States, African American or Caucasian (79.6 percent)		EPDS >10 ≤ 11 EPDS ≥ 12	Phone: Interactive voice response	EPDS scores range from 1 to 24 (mean = 8.8). 9.3 percent (n = 5) had EPDS scores >10 ≤ 11. 29.6 percent (n = 16) had EPDS scores ≥ 12
Kim (2012)	Postpartum, 7–10 days after delivery	N = 324, 87 percent ≥ 20 years. United States, African American, Hispanic or Caucasian (91.4 percent)		EPDS ≥ 10 EPDS ≥ 12	Phone: Interactive voice response	17 percent (n = 55) had EPDS scores ≥ 10. 11.1 percent (n = 36) had EPDS scores ≥ 12
Le (2009)	Postpartum, <12 months after delivery	N = 333, around 31 years. United States, Caucasian		PDSS = 60–79 PDSS ≥ 80	Internet: Web page	23 percent of the Internet study vs. 12 percent of in-person sample met criteria for risk of major postpartum depression (z = 2.53; p < 0.05)
Marcano-Belisario (2017)	Prenatal, any gestational age	N = 530 (273 scrolling layout; 292 paging layout) England		Whooley questions EPDS ≥ 10 ≤ 12 EPDS ≥ 13	Internet: Tablet application	23.02 percent (n = 122) participants answered Yes to at least one Whooley question. 7.2 percent (n = 38) had EPDS ≥ 10 ≤ 12. 5.8 percent (n = 31) had EPDS ≥ 13
Mitchell (2006)	Postpartum, 8 weeks after delivery	N = 106, 30.4 (5.3, 17–43), 93.1 percent United States, Caucasian		PDSS ≥ 60 ≤ 80 PDSS >80	Phone: Calls	15 percent (n = 18) had PDSS ≥ 60 ≤ 80. 9 percent (n = 11) had PDSS ≥ 80 ≤ 128
Teaford (2015)	Postpartum, 1–6 months after delivery	N = 469, 29.3 (5.3, 17–44). United States, white (86 percent)		EPDS ≥ 13	Internet: Web page	EPDS scores ranged 0–27 (mean = 7.0). 16 percent (n = 75) had EPDS scores ≥ 13
Zelkowitz (1995)	Postpartum, 6–8 weeks after delivery	N = 1559, age range 17–44 years old, Canada		EPDS ≥ 10 EPDS ≥ 12 SCID	Phone: Calls	6.2 percent had EPDS scores ≥ 10. 3.4 percent had EPDS scores ≥ 12

^aWe have included only the last name of the first author.

EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; PDSS, Postpartum Depression Screening Scale; SCID-I, Structured Clinical Interview for Disorders.

across investigations. For instance, in the prenatal period, studies included women at any gestational stage.^{39,53} Similarly, the period of interest during the postpartum varied from 7 days after childbirth to 6 months postpartum depending on the study ($n=7$; 70 percent),^{28,41,43,49,51,52,54} whereas one investigation included screening up to 1 year after delivery.⁵⁰

Type of ICT

As given in Table 2, ICTs have included the phone ($n=5$, 50 percent)^{39,41,49,51,54} and the Internet ($n=4$, 40 percent).^{28,50,52,53} One investigation used both types of ICTs.⁴³ For those using the telephone, screening was carried out through telephone calls ($n=4$, 40 percent)^{41,43,51,54} or through an interactive voice response system ($n=2$, 20 percent).^{39,49} By contrast, studies that preferred the Internet as a way of screening for PeD, have used web pages ($n=3$, 30 percent),^{28,50,52} a tablet application ($n=1$, 10 percent),⁵³ or e-mails ($n=1$, 10 percent).⁴³

Instruments used to assess depressive symptomatology

The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS)⁵⁵ has been the most frequently selected tool for depression screening across studies ($n=8$, 80 percent).^{28,39,41,43,49,52–54} Some authors used the Postpartum Depression Screening Scale (PDSS)⁵⁶ as an alternative to the EPDS ($n=2$, 20 percent),^{50,51} whereas others administered the Whooley questionnaire²⁰ or the DSM-IV (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition*) Structured Clinical Interview for Axis I Disorders—Clinician Version (SCID-CV)⁵⁷ as a complement to the EPDS ($n=2$, 20 percent).^{53,54}

Although screening tools were similar in the majority of studies, the selected cutoff points were very diverse. The screening cutoff for the EPDS ranged from 8 to 13 across investigations. For instance, three studies (30 percent) used a single cutoff score of 8,⁴¹ 10,⁴³ or 13,⁵² whereas other authors ($n=5$, 50 percent)^{28,39,49,53,54} established two cutoff points, one for moderate (EPDS ≥ 10 –11) and another for severe (EPDS ≥ 12 –13) depressive symptoms. Taking the PDSS, selected cutoffs for moderate and severe depression were 60–80 and >80 , respectively.^{50,51}

Study findings

When screening occurred during pregnancy, the proportion of women with depressive symptoms varied considerably across studies. Some authors³⁹ reported that 9.3 percent women presented with mild depressive symptoms (EPDS $>10 \leq 11$), whereas 29.6 percent were categorized as experiencing major depressive symptoms (EPDS ≥ 12). A most recent study revealed a lower proportion of severely depressed women, that is, a 7.2 percent of women with EPDS scores of between 10 and 12 and only 5.8 percent of women indicating EPDS scores >13 .⁵³

The proportion of depressed women in the postnatal period was somewhat higher than those reported during pregnancy. However, results varied greatly across investigations, arguably as a result of the different cutoffs used. Not surprisingly, the more restrictive the cutoff was, the fewer de-

pressed women were reported. For instance, one study using an EPDS cutoff of 8 obtained that 51.9 percent of participants were depressed.⁴¹ By contrast, when the EPDS cutoff was set to ≥ 10 , the proportion of depressed women decreased to between 6.2⁵⁴ and 17 percent.⁴⁹ The most recommended cutoff of 12 yielded a proportion of depressed women of between 3.4⁵⁴ and 11.1 percent.⁴⁹ Finally, when using the most restrictive cutoff of EPDS >13 , the proportion of women depressed ranged from 5.5²⁸ to 16 percent.⁵²

During the postpartum, depression screening using the PDSS with a cutoff of 80 indicated that between 9⁵¹ and 23 percent⁵⁰ of women met criteria for major postpartum depression. When authors used a lower cutoff (between 60 and 80), the prevalence of depression was found to be 15 percent.⁵¹

Discussion

This study aimed at reviewing the existing literature into the use of ICT for PeD. The importance of the topic lies in the fact that use of these tools, which has boosted in health settings in the past decades because of their increased availability in the population,³³ has been argued to overcome some of the existent barriers in screening for PeD.^{40–42} For instance, health care professionals have reported time constraints that make active, repeated assessment unfeasible.⁴⁴ In addition, perinatal women have indicated that anonymity and flexibility in the time to perform the assessment or to receive treatment are important factors influencing their decision to search for mental health assistance.^{58,59}

Despite the potential of ICT in PeD screening, this review evidenced that the integration of ICT in this field is still very rare. In addition, our study revealed some strengths (e.g., the consensus about the screening tool to be used) and weaknesses (e.g., inconsistency in the cutoffs used, infrequent use of apps for telemonitoring, exclusion of teenage mothers, and a major focus on the postpartum period) of the existent literature.

One important finding in this study was that the EPDS seems to be the preferred tool in studies using ICT for PeD screening,^{28,39,41,43,49,52–54} followed by the PDSS.^{50,51} Readers should keep in mind that the EPDS and the PDSS are not diagnostic tools, so women scoring above the cutoff should undergo a formal diagnosis assessment by a mental health specialist.^{56,60,61} However, investigations have shown that brief screening questions have a good diagnostic validity compared with structured mental health assessment and are recommended before a more in-depth evaluation is made.^{16–20}

In contrast to the popularity of the EPDS, our review revealed that the ICT use to perform the final diagnostic assessment has been very rare,⁵⁴ which suggests that health care professionals still rely on face-to-face interaction for the diagnosis of PeD. A recent study supports this idea, as sanitarians (psychologists and psychiatrists) seem to trust e-mental health applications for screening, prevention, followup, and positive psychology intervention, but they are skeptical with regard to their use in other forms of treatment and diagnosis of maternal depression.⁴⁵

Another interesting result in this investigation was that, despite the consensus about the use of the EPDS for PeD screening using ICT, the cutoff to be used is not well-established. Specifically, a number of studies selected the

recommended cutoff of 12 for severe depressive symptoms,^{28,39,49,53,54} but less ($EPDS > 8$ or $EPDS \geq 10$)^{41,43} and more restrictive cutoffs have also been utilized ($EPDS \geq 13$).⁵² This is problematic, as prevalence scores will likely differ as a function of the cutoff used and, most importantly, this will affect the recommendation made to the mothers. According to the existent literature on the EPDS, the recommended cutoff of 12⁵⁵ should be preferred unless clearly justified. In support of this, studies using this cutoff have reported prevalence scores between 3.4 and 11.1 percent, which are similar to the prevalence reported by the American Psychiatric Association.³

An important goal in this study was to explore the types of ICT used by researchers. The past decades have seen a surge in the number tools available, from the first fixed devices (e.g., phones and computers) to smartphones and wearable technology that have made ecological, momentary assessment feasible. Despite the current advances in ICT, research into PeD has mostly relied on phone calls and, to a lesser extent, web pages for screening.^{28,41,43,50–52,54} In fact, our search indicated that only one study used an application for a portable device, that is, a tablet⁵³ and no investigation included a smartphone app to ecologically screen for depression.

At this point, some considerations should be made. Recent reports have repeatedly indicated that the proportion of individuals owning a portable electronic device connected to the Internet³⁵ and the use of the Internet to seek for health-related information³⁶ have grown spectacularly. Similarly, the use of smartphone applications by perinatal women is becoming more and more frequent.⁶² In fact, the use of smartphone apps is argued to be the current gold standard assessment method in health settings as it reduces costs (phone calls by the health care professional are substituted for a continuous assessment with the app), it allows for tel-monitoring (it captures and communicates data in real time), it is ecological (mothers can answer wherever they are removing the need to connect to their computer at home or to travel to the clinic), and it is minimally invasive (mothers can decide when to answer to the app, which is more difficult when phone calls are used for assessment).⁶³ Also importantly, there is recent evidence to suggest that the use of mobile devices when administering validated screening scales does not affect data equivalence compared with traditional assessment modes, such as paper^{64,65} and that less personal assessment tools (e.g., mail as opposed to phone calls) result in higher PeD prevalence rates,⁴³ supporting the use of more impersonal screening tools, such as smartphone apps, in PeD.

In addition to the infrequent use of modern, anonymous ICT screening tools, our review of the literature also evidenced a tendency to exclude teenage mothers in studies using ICT for PeD screening. This is alarming because young mothers are less likely to consult a mental health specialist.²² Furthermore, teenager mothers seem to present with higher depression prevalence rates than adult mothers (17 and 3.3 percent, respectively) and many of them (up to 20 percent) report having committed suicide attempts during pregnancy.⁶⁶ For these reasons and also because this population arguably has a high knowledge of ICTs, teenage mothers are good candidates to benefit from ICT screening programs for PeD and should not be excluded from future research into this topic.

A final shortcoming revealed by the present investigation is that the majority of reviewed studies had a focus on the postnatal period, despite the well-established benefits of early screening during pregnancy.^{37–39} Considering the existing link between prenatal and postpartum depression,^{67–69} the high prevalence rates of prenatal depression obtained in this review (between 5.8 and 29.6 percent), and the consequences of prenatal depression on the fetus and later on the baby,^{12–14} routine depression screening throughout the pregnancy period should be an urge.

Related to this, we found that the majority of reviewed investigations have been cross-sectional studies with a single screening point, with no consensus about the best time for screening during pregnancy or postpartum and with no followup. In our opinion, the fact that prenatal depressive symptoms often persist at least during the first year postpartum,^{70–72} future research and screening programs should be characterized by a more frequent assessment starting early during pregnancy and finishing no sooner than 1 year after delivery. We believe that the use of ICTs will surely facilitate this repeated screening of depressive symptoms during obstetric (pregnancy) and pediatric (postpartum) revisions.⁴¹ However, further research is needed to determine the optimal quantity and timing of evaluations to rapidly and efficiently detect the onset of PeD.

Implications for Future Research and Clinical Practice

The use of e-health and m-health will surely change the way screening will happen in the future in perinatal care. There are, however, some challenges to their implementation that will need to be addressed in future developments. Engagement, for instance, is a critical element that will determine whether individuals are willing to use these technologies. There are some characteristics intrinsic to ICTs that may favor engagement in perinatal women. For instance, ICTs give the control and responsibility of medical care to the patient, who can decide when and how to perform the evaluation.

Making the technology customizable is another way of enhancing engagement, as reported by women.^{38,58} Virtual and augmented reality might serve this purpose,^{73,74} as both technologies make customization feasible. To date, however, the utility of virtual and augmented reality for mental health screening in perinatal women remains unexplored. Other strategies to promote engagement in self-monitoring using ICT are gamification and social interactions.^{75,76} For instance, participating women could be allowed to share their experiences with other women using the same technologies and could obtain rewards in the form of unlocking treatment content (i.e., a module on emotion regulation or psychology quotes) as they respond to the assessments.

As a final remark, we would like to draw attention to perceived utility and ease of use as key factors that should be considered in future developments of ICT in perinatal care, as both elements are excellent predictors of technology use.⁷⁷ Some strategies in this direction would include involving the target population in technology development and providing immediate feedback to the individual and the health care provider.^{78–80} To sum, what this study indicates is that the use of ICT in perinatal screening is very limited

and mostly represented by old-fashioned tools that make repeated, self-monitoring and telemonitoring almost impossible. There is a need to adopt more modern screening strategies that eliminate common barriers for seeking mental care in the perinatal period.

Conclusions

Routine screening for perinatal mental health is crucial both for the mother and the baby.⁵¹ However, barriers in the mother (e.g., difficulties travelling to the clinic, the stigma of mental health, and combining childcare and face-to-face consultations) and the health care professional (e.g., lack of time) make repeated assessment difficult. The use of ICT, especially smartphones, has been argued to overcome some of the limitations described previously as it allows for telemonitoring without the need for face-to-face interactions and eliminates the need to involve a human evaluator.⁸¹ Not surprisingly, smartphone apps are perceived by many as the current gold standard assessment tools in health settings.^{44–46,65}

Despite the aforementioned potential advantages of using smartphone apps for routine screening of depression in perinatal women, our review underlined that (a) ICT methods used to date tend to require a human evaluator (e.g., phone calls), (b) repeated screening to explore the evolution of mothers is very rare (frequently only once), (c) the cutoffs used for depression have been inconsistent, (d) the focus has been mostly on postpartum mental health, and (e) teenage mothers have been frequently ignored. In the light of these findings, we believe that there is an important gap in the literature into screening for PeD using ICT. Future research should explore the feasibility and utility of routine screening from early pregnancy periods to at least 1 year postpartum using smartphone apps, so that the onset of depression can be rapidly detected at any stage during the perinatal period.

Acknowledgments

This study was partially supported by the Universidad de Zaragoza, Gobierno de Aragón, (Departamento de Innovación, Investigación y Universidad), FEDER “Construyendo Europa desde Aragón”; Fundación Universitaria Antonio Gargallo (2013/B006 y 2014/B006), and Obra Social Ibercaja.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- O'Hara MW, Wisner KL. Perinatal mental illness: definition, description and aetiology. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2014; 28:3–12.
- Ladd C, Rodriguez McCullough NA, Carmaciu C. Perinatal mental illness. *InnovAiT: education and inspiration for general practice* 2017; 10:653–658.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013) *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Bennett HA, Einarson A, Taddio A, et al. Prevalence of depression during pregnancy: systematic review. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2004; 103:698–709.
- Gavin NI, Gaynes BN, Lohr KN, et al. Perinatal depression: a systematic review of prevalence and incidence. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2005; 106:1071–1083.
- Evans J, Heron J, Francomb H, et al. Cohort study of depressed mood during pregnancy and after childbirth. *BMJ* 2001; 323:257–260.
- Gaynes BN, Gavin N, Meltzer-Brody S, et al. Perinatal depression: prevalence, screening accuracy, and screening outcomes. *Evidence Report/Technology Assessment (Summary)* 2005; 119:1–8.
- Morris-Rush JK, Freda MC, Bernstein PS. Screening for postpartum depression in an inner-city population. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2003; 188:1217–1219.
- Weissman MM, Olfson M. Depression in women: implications for health care research. *Science* 1995; 269:799–801.
- Wisner KL, Sit DKY, McShea MC, et al. Onset timing, thoughts of self-harm, and diagnoses in postpartum women with screen-positive depression findings. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; 70:490–498.
- Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *The Lancet* 2014; 384:1800–1900.
- Jarde A, Morais M, Kingston D, et al. Neonatal outcomes in women with untreated antenatal depression compared with women without depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; 73:826–837.
- O'Hara MW. Postpartum depression: what we know. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 2009; 65:1258–1269.
- Sokol LE. A systematic review of the efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for treating and preventing perinatal depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2015; 177:7–21.
- World Health Organization. (2018) Maternal and child mental health. www.who.int/mental_health/maternal-child/maternal_mental_health/en (accessed Jul. 13, 2018).
- Arroll B. Screening for depression in primary care with two verbally asked questions: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2003; 327:1144–1146.
- Austin MP. Marcé International Society position statement on psychosocial assessment and depression screening in perinatal women. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2014; 28:179–187.
- Bennett IM, Coco A, Coyne JC, et al. Efficiency of a two-item pre-screen to reduce the burden of depression screening in pregnancy and postpartum: an IMPLICIT Network Study. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 2008; 21:317–325.
- Pilling S, Whittington C, Taylor C, et al. Identification and care pathways for common mental health disorders: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* 2011; 342:1203–1209.
- Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J, et al. Case-finding instruments for depression. Two questions are as good as many. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 1997; 12:439–445.
- Milgrom J, Gemmill AW, Bilszta JL, et al. Antenatal risk factors for postnatal depression: a large prospective study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2008; 108:147–157.
- Bales M, Pambrun E, Melchior M, et al. Prenatal psychological distress and access to mental health care in the ELFE cohort. *European Psychiatry* 2015; 30:322–328.
- Cox EQ, Sowa NA, Meltzer-Brody SE, et al. The perinatal depression treatment cascade: baby steps toward improving

- outcomes. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2016; 77: 1189–1200.
24. Deveugele M, Derese A, Van Den Brink-Muinen A, et al. Consultation length in general practice: cross sectional study in six European countries. *BMJ* 2002; 325:472–477.
 25. Gjerdingen DK, Yawn BP. Postpartum depression screening: importance, methods, barriers, and recommendations for practice. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 2007; 20:280–288.
 26. Gjerdingen D, Crow S, McGovern P, et al. Postpartum depression screening at well-child visits: validity of a 2-question screen and the PHQ-9. *Annals of Family Medicine* 2009; 7:63–70.
 27. Sheeder J, Kabir K, Stafford B. Screening for postpartum depression at well-child visits: is once enough during the first 6 months of life?. *Pediatrics* 2009; 126:e982–e988.
 28. Drake E, Howard E, Kinsey E. Online screening and referral for postpartum depression: an exploratory study. *Community Mental Health Journal* 2014; 50:305–311.
 29. Lara MA, Navarrete L, Nieto L, et al. Acceptability and barriers to treatment for perinatal depression. An exploratory study in Mexican women. *Salud Mental* 2014; 37: 293–301.
 30. Lewis BA, Gjerdingen DK, Avery MD, et al. Examination of a telephone-based exercise intervention for the prevention of postpartum depression: design, methodology, and baseline data from The Healthy Mom study. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2012; 33:1150–1158.
 31. Maloni JA, Przeworski A, Damato EG. Web recruitment and internet use and preferences reported by women with postpartum depression after pregnancy complications. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing* 2013; 27:90–95.
 32. Wiederhold BK. mHealth apps empower individuals. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 2015; 18: 429–430.
 33. International Telecommunication Union. ICT Facts and Figures 2017. www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts/default.aspx (accessed Jul. 13, 2018).
 34. Eurostat. Connection to the Internet and computer use. Level of internet access. 2017. <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/refreshTableAction.do?tab=table&plugin=1&pcode=tin00134&language=en> (accessed Jul. 13, 2018).
 35. Eurostat. Connection to the Internet and computer use. Individuals using mobile devices to access the Internet on the move. 2017. <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=tin00083&plugin=1> (accessed Jul. 13, 2018).
 36. Eurostat. Internet use. Individuals using the Internet for seeking health-related information. 2017. <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&plugin=1&language=en&pcode=tin00101> (accessed Jul. 13, 2018).
 37. Barrera AZ, Kelman AR, Muñoz RF. Keywords to recruit Spanish- and English-speaking participants: evidence from an online postpartum depression randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2014; 16:e6.
 38. Haga SM, Drozd F, Brendryen H, et al. Mamma mia: a feasibility study of a web-based intervention to reduce the risk of postpartum depression and enhance subjective well-being. *JMIR Research Protocols* 2013; 2:e29.
 39. Kim H, Bracha Y, Tipnis A. Automated depression screening in disadvantaged pregnant women in an urban obstetric clinic. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 2007; 10:163–169.
 40. Donker T, Cuijpers P, Stanley D, et al. (2015) The future of perinatal depression identification can information and communication technology optimize effectiveness?. In Milgrom J, Gemmill AW, eds. *Identifying perinatal depression and anxiety. Evidence-based practise in screening psycho-social assessment, and management*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 240–254.
 41. BenDavid DN, Hunker DF, Spadaro KC. Uncovering the golden veil: applying the evidence for telephone screening to detect early postpartum depression. *The Journal of Perinatal Education* 2016; 25:37–45.
 42. Estes LJ, Lloyd LE, Teti M, et al. Perceptions of audio computer-assisted self-interviewing (ACASI) among women in an HIV-positive prevention program. *PLoS One* 2010; 5:e9149.
 43. Horowitz JA, Murphy CA, Gregory KE, et al. A community-based screening initiative to identify mothers at risk for postpartum depression. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing* 2011; 40:52–61.
 44. Osma J, Sprenger M, Mettler T. Introduction of e-mental health in national health systems—A health professionals' perspective. *Health Policy and Technology* 2017; 6:436–445.
 45. Sprenger M, Mettler T, Osma J. Health professionals' perspective on the promotion of e-mental health apps in the context of maternal depression. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0180867.
 46. Pineros-Leano M, Tabb KM, Sears H, et al. Clinic staff attitudes towards the use of mHealth technology to conduct perinatal depression screenings: a qualitative study. *Family Practice* 2015; 32:211–215.
 47. Sondaal SFV, Browne JL, Amoakoh-Coleman M, et al. Assessing the effect of mHealth interventions in improving maternal and neonatal care in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2016; 11:e0154664.
 48. Ming W, Mackillop L, Farmer A, et al. Telemedicine technologies for diabetes in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2016; 18:e290.
 49. Kim HG, Geppert J, Quan T, et al. Screening for postpartum depression among low-income mothers using an interactive voice response system. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2012; 16:921–928.
 50. Le H-N, Perry DF, Sheng X. Using the internet to screen for postpartum depression. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2009; 13:213–221.
 51. Mitchell AM, Mittelstaedt ME, Schott-Baer D. Postpartum depression: the reliability of telephone screening. *The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing* 2006; 31: 382–387.
 52. Teaford D, Goyal D, McNeish SG. Identification of postpartum depression in an online community. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing* 2015; 44:578–586.
 53. Marcano-Belisario JS, Gupta AK, O'Donoghue J, et al. Implementation of depression screening in antenatal clinics through tablet computers: results of a feasibility study. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 2017; 17:59.
 54. Zelkowitz P, Milet HT. Screening for post-partum depression in a community sample. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 1995; 40:80–86.
 55. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item Edinburgh Post-

- natal Depression scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1987; 150:782–786.
56. Beck CT, Gable RK. Postpartum depression screening scale: development and psychometric testing. *Nursing Research* 2000; 49:272–282.
 57. First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, et al. (1997) *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders, clinician version (SCID-CV)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, Inc.
 58. O'Mahen HA, Grieve H, Jones J, et al. Women's experiences of factors affecting treatment engagement and adherence in internet delivered Behavioural Activation for Postnatal Depression. *Internet Interventions* 2015; 2: 84–90.
 59. O'Mahen HA, Woodford J, McGinley J, et al. Internet-based behavioral activation—Treatment for postnatal depression (Netmums): a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2013; 150:814–822.
 60. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2015) *Antenatal and postnatal mental health: clinical management and service guidance. NICE Clinical Guideline 2015*. London: British Psychological Society and The Royal College of Psychiatrists.
 61. Smith EK, Gopalan P, Glance JB, et al. Postpartum depression screening: a review for psychiatrists. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* 2016; 24:173–187.
 62. Osma J, Barrera AZ, Ramphos E. Are pregnant and postpartum women interested in health-related apps? Implications for the prevention of perinatal depression. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 2016; 19: 412–415.
 63. Demiris G, Afrin LB, Speedie S, et al. Patient-centered Applications: Use of Information Technology to Promote Disease Management and Wellness. A White Paper by the AMIA Knowledge in Motion Working Group. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 2008; 15: 8–13.
 64. Marcano Belisario JS, Huckvale K, Saje A, et al. Comparison of self administered survey questionnaire responses collected using mobile apps versus other methods. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014; 7:1–15.
 65. Suso-Ribera C, Castilla D, Zaragoza I, et al. Validity, reliability, feasibility, and usefulness of pain monitor, a multidimensional smartphone app for daily monitoring of adults with heterogeneous chronic pain. *The Clinical Journal of Pain* 2018; 34:900–908.
 66. Gentile S. Untreated depression during pregnancy: short- and long-term effects in offspring. A systematic review. *Neuroscience* 2015; 342:154–166.
 67. Meltzer-Brody S, Bledsoe-Mansori SE, Johnson N, et al. A prospective study of perinatal depression and trauma history in pregnant minority adolescents. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2013; 208:e1–e7.
 68. Norhayati MN, Nik Hazlina NH, Asrenee AR, et al. Magnitude and risk factors for postpartum symptoms: a literature review. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2015; 175: 34–52.
 69. Zerkowicz P, Saucier J-F, Wang T, et al. Stability and change in depressive symptoms from pregnancy to two months postpartum in childbearing immigrant women. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 2008; 11:1–11.
 70. Chaudron LH, Kitzman HJ, Szilagyi PG, et al. Changes in maternal depressive symptoms across the postpartum year at well child care visits. *Ambulatory Pediatrics* 2006; 6: 221–224.
 71. Chaudron LH, Szilagyi PG, Tang W, et al. Accuracy of depression screening tools for identifying postpartum depression among urban mothers. *Pediatrics* 2010; 125:e609–e617.
 72. Gjerdingen D, Crow S, McGovern P, et al. Changes in depressive symptoms over 0–9 months postpartum. *Journal of Women's Health* 2011; 20:381–386.
 73. Liao D, Shu L, Huang Y, et al. (2018) Scenes design in virtual reality for depression assessment. In Chen J, Fragomeni G, eds. *Virtual, augmented and mixed reality: applications in health, cultural, heritage, and industry*. Las Vegas, NV: Springer, pp. 116–125.
 74. Freeman D, Reeve S, Robinson A, et al. Virtual reality in the assessment, understanding, and treatment of mental health disorders. *Psychological Medicine* 2017; 47:2393–2400.
 75. Johnson D, Deterding S, Kuhn KA, et al. Gamification for health and wellbeing: a systematic review of the literature. *Internet Interventions* 2016; 6:89–106.
 76. Gachago D, Ivala E. Social media for enhancing student engagement: the use of Facebook and blogs at a University of Technology. *South African Journal of Higher Education* 2012; 26:152–167.
 77. Legris P, Ingham J, Collette P. Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Information & Management* 2003; 40: 191–204.
 78. Serino S, Triberti S, Villani D, et al. Towards a validation of cyber-interventions for stress disorders based on stress inoculation training: a systematic review. *Virtual Reality* 2014; 18:73–87.
 79. Graffigna G, Barelo S, Riva G, et al. Patient engagement: the key to redesign the exchange between the demand and supply for healthcare in the era of active ageing. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics* 2014; 203:85–95.
 80. Barelo S, Triberti S, Graffigna G, et al. eHealth for patient engagement: a Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Psychology* 2016; 6:1–13.
 81. Andrews G, Cuijpers P, Craske MG, et al. Computer therapy for the anxiety and depressive disorders is effective, acceptable and practical health care: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2010; 5:10.

Address correspondence to:

Dr. Jorge Osma
Facultad de Ciencias Sociales y Humanas
Universidad de Zaragoza
C/Ciudad Escolar s/n
Teruel 44003
Spain

E-mail: osma@unizar.es

(Appendix follows →)

APPENDIX 1. FINAL SEARCH TERMS IN SCIENCE DIRECT

Search	Keywords	Results
1	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
2	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
3	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	4
4	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	1
5	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
6	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
7	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
8	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
9	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
10	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	2
11	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	3
12	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
13	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	1
14	“perinatal” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
15	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
16	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
17	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	3
18	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	1
19	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
20	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
21	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
22	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
23	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	1
24	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
25	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	1
26	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
27	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
28	“perinatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
29	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
30	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
31	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	2
32	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
33	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
34	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
35	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
36	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
37	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	3
38	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	2
39	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	2
40	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
41	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	2
42	“pregnant” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
43	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
44	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
45	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	1
46	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
47	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
48	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
49	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
50	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
51	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
52	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
53	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	0
54	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
55	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
56	“pregnant” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
57	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	1
58	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	1
59	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	2
60	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
61	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
62	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0

(continued)

APPENDIX 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>Search</i>	<i>Keywords</i>	<i>Results</i>
63	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
64	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
65	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	5
66	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	3
67	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	7
68	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
69	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
70	“pregnancy” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
71	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
72	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
73	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	1
74	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
75	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
76	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
77	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
78	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
79	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
80	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
81	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	2
82	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
83	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
84	“pregnancy” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
85	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
86	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
87	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	0
88	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
89	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
90	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
91	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
92	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
93	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
94	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	1
95	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	0
96	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
97	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	2
98	“prenatal” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
99	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	0
100	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	0
101	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	0
102	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
103	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
104	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
105	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
106	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
107	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
108	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
109	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	0
110	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
111	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
112	“prenatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
113	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	1
114	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	1
115	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	2
116	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
117	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
118	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
119	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
120	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
121	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	2
122	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	3
123	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	6
124	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0

(continued)

APPENDIX 1. (CONTINUED)

<i>Search</i>	<i>Keywords</i>	<i>Results</i>
125	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	1
126	“postnatal” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
127	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	1
128	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	1
129	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	1
130	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
131	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
132	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
133	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
134	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
135	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
136	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
137	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	1
138	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
139	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	1
140	“postnatal” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
141	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “technology” AND “screening”	2
142	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	2
143	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	5
144	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
145	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
146	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
147	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
148	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
149	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “application” AND “screening”	3
150	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “phone” AND “screening”	3
151	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	13
152	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
153	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
154	“postpartum” AND “depression” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0
155	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technology” AND “screening”	1
156	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “technologies” AND “screening”	1
157	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “Internet” AND “screening”	2
158	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “eHealth” AND “screening”	0
159	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mHealth” AND “screening”	0
160	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “ICT” AND “screening”	0
161	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “mobile” AND “screening”	0
162	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “app” AND “screening”	0
163	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “application” AND “screening”	0
164	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “phone” AND “screening”	0
165	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “telephone” AND “screening”	2
166	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “smartphone” AND “screening”	0
167	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “tablet” AND “screening”	0
168	“postpartum” AND “depressive symptoms” AND “PDA” AND “screening”	0